



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.        | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| 10/642,259   | 08/15/2003  | Bong-Joon Lee        | SII-2510 [SIMG0155]        | 1525             |
| 60974 7590 04/03/2007<br>GIRARD & EQUITZ LLP<br>400 MONTGOMERY STREET<br>SUITE 1110<br>SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104 |             |                      | EXAMINER<br>JOSEPH, JAISON |                  |
|  |             |                      | ART UNIT                   | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |             |                      | 2611                       |                  |
| SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE   |             | MAIL DATE            | DELIVERY MODE              |                  |
| 3 MONTHS   |             | 04/03/2007           | PAPER                      |                  |

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/642,259

Applicant(s)

LEE ET AL.

Examiner

Jaison Joseph

Art Unit

2611

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 August 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 26-33 and 40 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6, 11-14, 22-25, 34 and 38-42 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 7-10, 15-21, 35-37, 43 and 44 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)            | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Specification***

The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 – 6, 11-14, 22 – 25, and 38 – 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Momtaz (US Patent 5,945,855) in view of Chang (USPAP 2002/0027457).

Regarding claim 1, Momtaz teaches A clock and data recovery device for generating data samples in response to data having jitter, said device including: sampling circuitry coupled and configured to receive the data and to generate the data samples (see figure 1, data detector); clock generation circuitry configured to generate the data sampling clock in response to at least one control signal and to assert the data sampling clock to the sampling circuitry (see figure 1, VCO 14), wherein the phase of said data sampling clock is determined by the control signal (the phase of the clock

Art Unit: 2611

signal is controlled by the phase detecting circuit); phase detection circuitry configured to generate feedback indicative of the amount of the jitter and of phase error between the data sampling clock and the data (see figure 1, phase detector 11); and clock control circuitry, coupled and configured to generate the control signal in response to the feedback and to assert the control signal to the clock generation circuitry (see the charge pump and loop filter), wherein the control signal is at least substantially independent of the amount of the jitter over each time interval over which  $\phi_{av}$  is nonzero (see the up and down signals), where  $\phi_{av}$  is an average of instantaneous values of the phase error between the data sampling clock and the data over the time interval (see the up and down signals). Momtaz does not expressly teach the sampling circuitry employ over 2x over sampling using plurality of clock signals. However in analogous art Chang et al teach a over sampling circuitry, over samples data using two clocks to generate a 2x over sampled data signals (see paragraph 13). Therefore it would be obvious to an ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to replace Momtaz's over sampling circuitry with Chang's over sampling circuitry. The motivation or suggestion to do so is to have a simple double data rate sampler.

Regarding claim 2, which inherits the limitations of claim 1, Momtaz further teaches wherein the clock generation circuitry is a voltage controlled oscillator, the clock control circuitry includes a charge pump circuit, and the charge pump circuit is configured to generate a charge pump current in response to the feedback and to generate the control signal in response to the charge pump current (see figure 1, components 11, 12, 13, 14), wherein the charge pump current has an average current

value,  $I_{avg}$ , that is at least substantially independent of the amount of the jitter over said each time interval over which  $\phi_{av}$  is nonzero, where  $I_{avg}$  is an average of instantaneous values of the charge pump current over the time interval (see up and down signals).

Regarding claim 3, which inherits the limitations of claim 2, Momtaz further teaches wherein the charge pump circuit is configured to generate the charge pump current such that said charge pump current has an absolute value that is proportional to the amount of the jitter (see column 3, lines 59 –63).

Regarding claim 4, which inherits the limitations of claim 2, Momtaz further teaches wherein the average current value,  $I_{av}$  is independent of the amount of the jitter over said each time interval over which  $\phi_{av}$  is nonzero (see column 3, lines 59 –63).

Regarding claim 5, which inherits the limitations of claim 2, Momtaz further teaches wherein the feedback is indicative of a sequence of control bit pairs, a first bit in each of the pairs is indicative of whether the phase error between the data sampling clock and the data is positive, a second bit in each of the pairs is indicative of whether said phase error is negative (see figure 1, up and down signals), and the charge pump circuit includes: a first node coupled to receive a first signal indicative of the first bit of each of the control bit pairs (output up signal); a second node coupled to receive a second signal indicative of the second bit of each of the control bit pairs (output down signal); delay circuitry coupled to receive the first signal and the second signal and configured to assert in response thereto a first delayed signal indicative of the first bit of each of the control bit pairs and a second delayed signal indicative of the second bit of

Art Unit: 2611

each of the control bit pairs (see figure 4, delay circuits); a third node (see figure 4, output of charge pump circuit); and additional circuitry, coupled to the first node, the second node, the delay circuitry, and the third node, and configured to source a positive current to the third node when one of the control bit pairs indicates positive phase error but does not indicate negative phase error between the data sampling clock and the data, and to sink a current from the third node when one of the control bit pairs indicates negative phase error but does not indicate positive phase error between the data sampling clock and the data (see figure 4, charge pump circuit and column 6, lines 50 – column 7, lines 11).

Regarding claim 6, which inherits the limitations of claim 5, Momtaz further teaches wherein the second signal is indicative of the complement of the second bit of each of the charge pump control bit pairs, the delay circuitry includes a first inverter whose input is the first node and a second inverter whose input is the second node, the first inverter has an output coupled to assert the first delayed signal to the additional circuitry, and the second inverter has an output coupled to assert the second delayed signal to the additional circuitry (see figure 4, charge pump circuit and column 6, lines 50 – column 7, lines 11).

Regarding claim 11, which inherits the limitations of claim 1, the combination of Momtaz and Chang does not expressly teach the data sampling frequency equal to  $f/N$ . However at the time the invention was made it would have been to a person of ordinary skill in the art to realize the sampling frequency is  $f/N$ . since applicant have not disclosed having the frequency  $f/N$  provides an advantage, is used for a particular

Art Unit: 2611

purpose or solves a stated problem. Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art to modify the combination of Momtaz and Chen to obtain the invention as specified in claim 11.

Regarding claim 12, the claimed device including the features correspond to subject matter mentioned in the rejection claim 1, is applicable hereto.

Regarding claim 13, which inherits the limitations of claim 12, the claimed device including the features correspond to subject matter mentioned in the rejection claim 2, is applicable hereto.

Regarding claim 13, which inherits the limitations of claim 12, the claimed device including the features correspond to subject matter mentioned in the rejection claim 3, is applicable hereto.

Regarding claim 22, which inherits the limitations of claim 12, Momtaz further teaches wherein the clock generation circuitry is a voltage controlled oscillator, and the clock control circuitry is configured to assert a control voltage, determined by the charge pump current, to the clock generation circuitry (see figure 1).

Regarding claim 23, which inherits the limitations of claim 12, the claimed device including the features correspond to subject matter mentioned in the rejection claim 5, is applicable hereto.

Regarding claim 24, which inherits the limitations of claim 23, the claimed device including the features correspond to subject matter mentioned in the rejection claim 6, is applicable hereto.

Art Unit: 2611

Regarding claim 25, which inherits the limitations of claim 12, the claimed device including the features correspond to subject matter mentioned in the rejection claim 11, is applicable hereto.

Regarding claim 38, the claimed device including the features correspond to subject matter mentioned in the rejection claim 1, is applicable hereto.

Regarding claim 39, the claimed device including the features correspond to subject matter mentioned in the rejection claim 1, is applicable hereto.

Regarding claim 41, the claimed device including the features correspond to subject matter mentioned in the rejection claim 1, is applicable hereto.

Regarding claim 42, which inherits the limitations of claim 41, the claimed device including the features correspond to subject matter mentioned in the rejection claim 3, is applicable hereto.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 34 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Saitoh et al (US Patent 5,604,775).

Regarding claim 34, Saitoh et al each a jitter estimating circuit for use in an clock and data recovery device configured to generate samples of data having jitter using a



Art Unit: 2611

data sampling clock, where the clock and data recovery device is configured to generate the data sampling clock by applying variable delay to a first clock in response to feedback indicative of phase error between the data sampling clock and the data (see figure 3), said circuit including: counter circuitry configured to generate a sequence of counts in response to the feedback (see figure 3, component 42), wherein each of the counts is indicative of the number of times that the clock and data recovery device changes the phase of the first clock during a predetermined number of valid transitions of the data; and decision logic (see figure 3, component 43), coupled to the counter circuitry and configured to generate code words in response to the counts, wherein the counts have values in a range of count values, the range is partitioned into segments, and each of the code words is generated in response to one of the counts and indicates one of the segments to which said one of the counts belongs (see column 4, lines 15 – 47).

***Allowable Subject Matter***

Claims 26 – 33 and 40 are allowable over prior art of record.

Claims 7 – 10, 15 – 22, 35 – 37, 43 and 44 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

**Conclusion**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jaison Joseph whose telephone number is (571) 272-6041. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30 - 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh Fan can be reached on (571) 272-3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jaison Joseph  
03/30/2007

  
CHIEH M. FAN  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER